

ADDENDUM 2

DATE: February 26, 2024

RFP NUMBER: UTA2024-010 MICROMOBILITY SERVICES

RFP DUE DATE: March 25, 2024, at 03:00 p.m. Central Time

The following changes and/or clarifications are hereby incorporated into the RFP. Your proposal must reflect the following:

This addendum is to provide RFP questions and responses as follows:

Question 1: What is the anticipated launch date of the program?

Response: No later than August 1, 2024.

Question 2: Can UTA disclose who is on the evaluation panel? What departments or entities do they represent?

Response: No.

Question 3: Will information included in appendices be included in the committee's evaluation of our responses?

Response: Yes.

Question 4: How many operators does UTA anticipate selecting?

Response: One.

Question 5: Is there a page limit for Section 5: Specifications and Additional Questions?

Response: No, but please be concise.

Question 6: Should vendors propose a fleet size, or will this be determined after contract award with UTA and the City?

Response: Yes, please propose a cap based on your expertise. Refer to 5.3.7

Question 7: Is the proposed service zone expected to expand during the term?

Response: Not at this time. The goal of the pilot program is to demonstrate that these devices can be operated within a defined area according to well-controlled regulations. The City staff will review the success of the pilot throughout the term and will use that information to consider modifications in the future.

Question 8: Should vendors be prepared to have special operations for events at AT&T Stadium?

Response: Not at this time.

Question 9: To confirm, would this be after the 12-hour period mentioned in 5.4.4.2 to move the vehicle into an appropriate space?

Response: No, 5.4.4.2 is referring to your response time to a compliant once you have been made aware of it. For example, if you get a call that a scooter is parked in someone's front yard, you will then have 12 hours to respond to it, but hopefully it would not take that long.

Question 10: Could the University please confirm the accurate numbering of the Appendices? For instance, in the document titled "Appendix Six - Security Characteristics And Functionality Of Contractor's

Information Resources," there seems to be an inconsistency as it refers to itself as Appendix Seven within its text?

Response: Reference Addendum 1 for Correction to Appendices.

Question 11: Furthermore, under "Question 5.3.6," the proposal requests responses to items listed in "APPENDIX SEVEN, Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor's Information Resources." However, it appears that "Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor's Information Resources" is actually labeled as Appendix Six. As a result, there is currently no question prompting Contractors to respond to the Information Security Application Risk Assessment contained within Appendix Seven.

Response: Reference Addendum 1 for Correction to Appendices. There are no questions for Contractors to respond to the Information Security Application Risk Assessment contained within Appendix Seven under Section 5.

PLEASE SUBMIT WITH YOUR PROPOSAL

Charlie Brooks
Jackie Webster

Sr. Contract Specialist
Contract Specialist

Charles.Brooks@uta.edu
Jackie.Webster@uta.edu

SIGNED: _____

COMPANY NAME: _____